

# Fitch Affirms Realkredit Danmark at 'A+'; Outlook Stable

Fitch Ratings - Warsaw - 22 Nov 2024: Fitch Ratings has affirmed Realkredit Danmark A/S's Long-Term Issuer Default Rating (IDR) at 'A+' The Outlook is Stable. Fitch has also affirmed the Viability Rating (VR) at 'a'. A full list of rating actions is below.

## **Key Rating Drivers**

**Support-Driven IDRs:** Realkredit Danmark's IDRs and Shareholder Support Rating (SSR) reflect Fitch's view of a very high probability of support from Danske Bank A/S (A+/Stable), if ever required. The Stable Outlook on Realkredit Danmark's Long-Term IDR mirrors that on the parent.

**Shareholder Support:** In Fitch's view, Danske has a very high propensity to support Realkredit Danmark, given the latter's role as the group's domestic mortgage provider (about 40% of the group's total loans). This is because mortgage lending is an integral part of Danske's domestic business.

We also take into consideration the high level of management and operational integration, and capital and funding fungibility between Danske and Realkredit Danmark. We believe any potentially required support could be material, albeit manageable, relative to Danske's ability to provide it. Realkredit Danmark is exempt from bail-in and subsequently MREL, but it must observe its own debt buffer requirement, which comprises equity and bonds issued to the parent.

**Strong Intrinsic Creditworthiness:** Realkredit Danmark's VR reflects its low risk appetite and strong asset-quality metrics, which balance its monoline business model and undiversified but stable earnings. They also reflect Realkredit Danmark's entrenched mortgage lending franchise in Denmark, strong capitalisation and manageable reliance on wholesale funding.

**Low Risk Profile:** Credit risk exposure solely comprises mortgage lending, with tight underwriting standards underpinned by conservative Danish covered bond and mortgage lending legislation. Realkredit Danmark's loan book is geographically concentrated in Denmark and strongly linked to the performance of the Danish economy and real estate market.

**Strong Asset Quality:** Realkredit Danmark's asset quality is a rating strength, underpinned by low arrears and defaults, prudent collateralisation and underwriting standards, contained growth and low levels of loan impairment charges (LICs) through the cycle. We expect Realkredit Danmark's impaired loan ratio of 1.1% at end-June 2024 to remain broadly stable over 2024-2025, while LICs should be very low.

Stable Earnings: Realkredit Danmark's profitability is weaker than that of similarly-rated banks as its

income almost solely relies on lending. However, the lack of revenue diversification is offset by limited credit losses and robust cost efficiency. We expect Realkredit Danmark's operating profit to remain broadly stable at around 3.5% of risk-weighted assets (RWAs) over 2H24-2025. This is because we expect slightly decreasing net interest income from its securities portfolio, which is offset by lower LICs.

**Strong Capitalisation:** Realkredit Danmark's capitalisation is underpinned by its low-risk business model and solid capital surplus over regulatory minimums. We also consider Realkredit Danmark's high product concentration and potential ordinary capital support from the parent. Capital fungibility across the Danske group is constrained by Realkredit Danmark's standalone prudent capital requirements.

**Solely Wholesale Funded:** Realkredit Danmark relies exclusively on wholesale funding. This is because of the matched funding and a pass-through principle for payments for Danish mortgage banks, which may only be achieved by the issuance of covered bonds. We believe the risk of Realkredit Danmark not being able to access the covered bond market is low, due to strong demand for these bonds from Danish financial institutions, insurance companies and pension funds. Refinancing risk is also mitigated by the bank's good liquidity buffer and potential ordinary support from Danske, if needed.

## **Rating Sensitivities**

# Factors that Could, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Negative Rating Action/ Downgrade

Realkredit Danmark's IDR and SSR would be downgraded if Danske's IDR was downgraded, as Realkredit's ratings are driven by institutional support. Realkredit Danmark's IDR and SSR are also sensitive to a weakening of Danske's propensity to support the subsidiary, for example, if Realkredit Danmark became a less strategic entity for the group, or if the entity became significantly less integrated within the group, which we do not expect.

We would downgrade Realkredit Danmark's VR if we expected its impaired loans ratio to increase durably above 2.5% and its common equity Tier 1 (CET1) ratio to shrink durably below 14%.

An adverse change in investor sentiment requiring extraordinary support from the parent, due to a material weakening of Realkredit Danmark's ability to access competitively priced covered-bond funding, would be negative for the bank's VR. An increased reliance on international debt investors, that could prove less stable during periods of financial stress, would also put negative pressure on the ratings.

# Factors that Could, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Positive Rating Action/Upgrade

Realkredit Danmark's IDR and SSR could be upgraded if Danske's IDR was upgraded, assuming Realkredit Danmark retains its important role within the group.

Realkredit Danmark's VR is constrained by its limited product range. In the longer term, an upgrade would be contingent on the bank broadening its product offering, providing it with significantly more diversified revenue streams.

## **VR ADJUSTMENTS**

The business profile score of 'a-' is above the 'bbb' category implied score due to the following adjustment reason: market position (positive).

The capitalisation and leverage score of 'a+' is below the 'aa' category implied score due to the following adjustment reasons: risk profile and business model (negative).

#### REFERENCES FOR SUBSTANTIALLY MATERIAL SOURCE CITED AS KEY DRIVER OF RATING

The principal sources of information used in the analysis are described in the Applicable Criteria.

## Public Ratings with Credit Linkage to other ratings

Realkredit Danmark's ratings are linked to the ratings of its parent, Danske.

## **ESG Considerations**

The highest level of ESG credit relevance is a score of '3', unless otherwise disclosed in this section. A score of '3' means ESG issues are credit-neutral or have only a minimal credit impact on the entity, either due to their nature or the way in which they are being managed by the entity. Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores are not inputs in the rating process; they are an observation on the relevance and materiality of ESG factors in the rating decision. For more information on Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores, visithttps://www.fitchratings.com/topics/esg/products#esg-relevance-scores.

## **Fitch Ratings Analysts**

## Jakub Kopiec, CFA

Director

**Primary Rating Analyst** 

+48 22 103 3020

Fitch Ratings Ireland Limited spolka z ograniczona odpowiedzialnoscia oddzial w Polsce Marszalkowska 107, 00-110 Warsaw

#### **Anders Viden**

Associate Director Secondary Rating Analyst +46 85051 7806

#### **Patrick Rioual**

Senior Director
Committee Chairperson
+33 1 44 29 91 21

#### **Media Contacts**

### **Peter Fitzpatrick**

London +44 20 3530 1103 peter.fitzpatrick@thefitchgroup.com

# **Rating Actions**

ENTITY/DEBT	RATING			RECOVERY	PRIOR
Realkredit Danmark A/S	LT IDR	A+ <b>©</b>	Affirmed		A+ <b>•</b>
	ST IDR	F1	Affirmed		F1
	Viability	a	Affirmed		a
	Shareholder Support	a+	Affirmed		a+

## RATINGS KEY OUTLOOK WATCH

# **Applicable Criteria**

Bank Rating Criteria (pub.15 Mar 2024) (including rating assumption sensitivity)

# **Additional Disclosures**

**Solicitation Status** 

**Endorsement Status** 

## **DISCLAIMER & DISCLOSURES**

All Fitch Ratings (Fitch) credit ratings are subject to certain limitations and disclaimers. Please read these limitations and disclaimers by following this link: https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings. In addition, the following https://www.fitchratings.com/rating-definitions-document details Fitch's rating definitions for each rating scale and rating categories, including definitions relating to default. ESMA and the FCA are required to publish historical default rates in a central repository in accordance with Articles 11(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1060/2009 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 September 2009 and The Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019 respectively.

Published ratings, criteria, and methodologies are available from this site at all times. Fitch's code of conduct, confidentiality, conflicts of interest, affiliate firewall, compliance, and other relevant policies and procedures are also available from the Code of Conduct section of this site. Directors and shareholders' relevant interests are available at https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory. Fitch may have provided another permissible or ancillary service to the rated entity or its related third parties. Details of permissible or ancillary service(s) for which the lead analyst is based in an ESMA- or FCA-registered Fitch Ratings company (or branch of such a company) can be found on the entity summary page for this issuer on the Fitch Ratings website.

In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third- party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed. Fitch Ratings makes routine, commonly-accepted adjustments to reported financial data in accordance with the relevant

criteria and/or industry standards to provide financial metric consistency for entities in the same sector or asset class.

The complete span of best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings for all rating categories ranges from 'AAA' to 'D'. Fitch also provides information on best-case rating upgrade scenarios and worst-case rating downgrade scenarios (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in each direction) for international credit ratings, based on historical performance. A simple average across asset classes presents best-case upgrades of 4 notches and worst-case downgrades of 8 notches at the 99th percentile. For more details on sector-specific best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings, please see Best- and Worst-Case Measures under the Rating Performance page on Fitch's website.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001. Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical

Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

dv01, a Fitch Solutions company, and an affiliate of Fitch Ratings, may from time to time serve as loan data agent on certain structured finance transactions rated by Fitch Ratings.

Copyright © 2024 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved.

## **Endorsement policy**

Fitch's international credit ratings produced outside the EU or the UK, as the case may be, are endorsed for use by regulated entities within the EU or the UK, respectively, for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU CRA Regulation or the UK Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019, as the case may be. Fitch's approach to endorsement in the EU and the UK can be found on Fitch's Regulatory Affairs page on Fitch's website. The endorsement status of international credit ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.